Vol. 9. No. 2 (2026)
Page: 14767-14783

COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF KAIZEN PHILOSOPHY AND TIME MANAGEMENT VALUES IN THE INTERPRETATION OF QS. AL-ʿASHR AND ITS RELEVANCE TO MUSLIM PRODUCTIVITY

Muhammad Nazril Ulirruha[1]
Universitas Islam Negeri Mataram, Mataram, Indonesia
220601099.mhs@uinmataram.ac.id

Zulyadain[2]
Universitas Islam Negeri Mataram, Mataram, Indonesia
zulyadain@uinmataram.ac.id

Nursyamsu[3]
Universitas Islam Negeri Mataram, Mataram, Indonesia
nursyamsu@uinmataram.ac.id

Abstract

This study examines the conceptual convergence between Kaizen philosophy, a Japanese management paradigm centered on continuous incremental improvement, and the time management values embedded in QS. Al-ʿAshr (103:1–3), with the aim of constructing an integrative productivity framework for Muslim practitioners. Employing qualitative library research and systematic comparative content analysis, the study analyzes foundational Kaizen texts alongside classical and contemporary tafsir by Ibn Kathir, Al-Tabari, Al-Qurtubi, M. Quraish Shihab, and Yusuf Al-Qaradawi. Findings identify four structural convergences: both frameworks treat time as a non-renewable resource, mandate consistent purposeful action, ground individual improvement within a collective accountability structure, and sustain productive effort through institutionalized resilience. The study proposes the Kaizen Al-ʿAshr Integrative Framework (KAIF) as a theoretically grounded and operationally practical productivity model applicable at the individual, organizational, and societal levels.

Keywords: Kaizen; QS. Al-‘Ashr; Muslim Productivity; Islam Management; Tafsir

INTRODUCTION

The tension between aspiration and actualization between the human desire for excellence and the habitual drift toward inertia is a challenge that every civilization has confronted. In the twentieth century, Japan's remarkable economic transformation provided one of history's most instructive case studies in how a culture can systematically institutionalize the pursuit of continuous improvement. The philosophy that underpinned this transformation, known as Kaizen, became one of the most influential management paradigms of the modern era, adopted in manufacturing, healthcare, education, and public administration across the globe (Imai, 1986; Singh & Singh, 2015). At the heart of Kaizen lies a deceptively simple but profoundly demanding imperative: that every individual, every process, and every institution must commit to becoming incrementally better every single day, and that this commitment must be collective, disciplined, and sustained through time.

Fourteen centuries earlier, the Qur'an articulated a strikingly convergent vision in one of its most concise yet theologically dense chapters. QS. Al-ʿAshr comprising merely three verses opens with a divine oath sworn by time itself, declares the universal human predicament to be one of loss and deprivation, and then enumerates the four interlocking conditions through which this loss may be averted: authentic faith (iman), consistent righteous action (ʿamal salih), mutual exhortation to truth and justice (tawasau bil-haqq), and mutual encouragement to endurance (tawasau bis-sabr). The classical scholar Imam Al-Shafi'i, as cited in Ibn Kathir (2000), reportedly held that if humanity were to ponder only this single surah, it would suffice as a complete moral and existential guide. M. Quraish Shihab (2002) characterizes the surah as the Qur'an's most comprehensive and economical statement on the ethics of time and the conditions of genuine human success.

The thematic and structural parallels between these two frameworks one emerging from the pragmatic context of post-war Japanese industrial management, the other from the divine revelation of the seventh-century Arabian Peninsula invite serious comparative scholarly analysis. Yet despite the growing literature on Islamic management and organizational behavior (Beekun & Badawi, 2005; Abbasi et al., 2012; Hassan & Harahap, 2020), and despite considerable scholarly attention to both Kaizen in organizational studies and QS. Al-ʿAshr in Qur'anic studies, the specific intellectual dialogue between these two bodies of thought has not been systematically pursued. This represents a significant lacuna in the emerging field of Islamic management science, particularly given the operational specificity of Kaizen and the normative authority of the Qur'anic framework.

The urgency of filling this lacuna is underscored by a broader contextual reality. Data from the 2023 Global Innovation Index indicate that many Organization of Islamic Cooperation (OIC) member states consistently underperform relative to their human and natural resource endowments in knowledge productivity and innovation output (WIPO, 2023). Research by Chapra (2020) and Rehman and Askari (2010) identifies a persistent disconnection between the Islamic tradition's robust normative framework for purposeful, disciplined, and excellent action and the institutional reality of many Muslim-majority organizations. This disconnection suggests that what is needed is not merely the adoption of external management tools but the development of authentically Islamic productivity frameworks that are simultaneously spiritually grounded and operationally rigorous.

The present study aims to address this need through a systematic comparative analysis of Kaizen philosophy and the time management values embedded in QS. Al-ʿAshr.

Specifically, the study pursues three interconnected objectives: (1) to identify and analyze the core operational principles of the Kaizen philosophy through a comprehensive review of primary and secondary sources; (2) to conduct a multi-source tafsir analysis of QS. Al-ʿAshr, mapping its normative architecture of time management and productive action; and (3) to construct a comparative matrix that systematically maps convergences and divergences between the two frameworks, from which an integrative productivity model for Muslim practitioners may be derived. The study makes two principal contributions: a rigorous comparative analysis that advances the theoretical literature on Islamic management, and a practically applicable integrative framework for Muslim individuals and institutions seeking productivity approaches that are both globally competitive and spiritually coherent.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

The Kaizen Philosophy

The term Kaizen entered the global management lexicon primarily through Masaaki Imai's 1986 publication, in which he systematically documented the management practices underlying Japan's post-war industrial renaissance. Etymologically, Kaizen derives from the Japanese characters for 'change' (kai) and 'good' or 'better' (zen), yielding the compound meaning of 'change for the better' or 'continuous improvement' (Imai, 1986). However, as Brunet and New (2003) observe, the philosophical depth of Kaizen substantially exceeds its deceptively simple etymology: the concept encompasses a comprehensive worldview regarding the relationship between human effort, organizational processes, and the passage of time.

Philosophically, Kaizen rests on a foundational ontological assumption that may be termed 'perfectibility through process': the conviction that no current state however apparently optimal is immune to improvement, and that the appropriate response to this understanding is not anxiety but disciplined, systematic, incremental action (Liker, 2004). This posture distinguishes Kaizen from both the Western innovation paradigm, which tends to valorize disruptive breakthroughs, and from static maintenance cultures, which prioritize the preservation of existing standards. Liker and Meier (2006) characterize Kaizen as occupying a distinctive philosophical middle ground: the relentless pursuit of excellence through the accumulation of small, consistent, and measurable daily improvements.

The operational architecture of Kaizen comprises several interlocking components. The Plan-Do-Check-Act (PDCA) cycle, developed by quality pioneer W. Edwards Deming and subsequently adapted within the Kaizen framework, provides a structured iterative methodology for process improvement (Magar & Shinde, 2014). The three-waste elimination framework targeting muda (non-value-adding activities), mura (unevenness), and muri (overburden) provides operational criteria for identifying improvement opportunities (Ohno, 1988). The gemba principle, emphasizing that improvement must be grounded in direct observation of actual work processes, ensures that Kaizen remains empirically tethered rather than abstractly theoretical (Imai, 2012). And the emphasis on respect for people (ningensei) establishes that sustainable improvement is inseparable from the dignity, engagement, and development of every individual contributor (Liker, 2004).

Contemporary research has confirmed Kaizen's effectiveness across a remarkably diverse range of institutional contexts. Radnor and Holweg (2012) demonstrated meaningful quality improvements through Lean-Kaizen implementation in National Health Service

hospitals in the United Kingdom. Balzer et al. (2016) documented significant performance gains in North American higher education institutions through systematic Kaizen application. Laureani and Antony (2019) conducted a comprehensive systematic review establishing Lean Six Sigma a methodology closely related to Kaizen as producing consistent quality improvements in service industries globally. More recently, Othman et al. (2021) extended this literature to Islamic organizational contexts, finding that Kaizen implementation in Malaysian manufacturing firms was significantly enhanced when explicitly integrated with Islamic work ethics, suggesting a natural compatibility between the two frameworks that the present study seeks to theoretically ground and systematically elaborate.

QS. Al-ʿAshr in Classical and Contemporary Tafsir

QS. Al-ʿAshr occupies a distinctive position in the corpus of Qur'anic exegesis by virtue of the inverse relationship between its brevity and its theological density. The chapter's three verses have generated an exegetical literature disproportionate to their length, precisely because scholars across generations have recognized in them a complete and self-contained philosophy of purposeful human existence. The foundational question in the tafsir tradition concerns the referent of the opening oath wal-ʿasr what precisely is meant by 'time' in this context, and what is the significance of God swearing by it.

Ibn Kathir (d. 774 AH / 1373 CE), in his authoritative Tafsir al-Qur'an al-ʿAzim, identifies three principal interpretive positions within the classical tradition: ʿasr as the passage of time in general (al-dahr), ʿasr as the afternoon prayer time specifically, and ʿasr as the age of the Prophet Muhammad, peace be upon him (Ibn Kathir, 2000). Ibn Kathir favors the first interpretation, arguing that the divine oath by time-in-general is the most consistent with the surah's subsequent universal claim about the human condition. Al-Tabari (d. 310 AH / 923 CE), in his encyclopedic Jami' al-Bayan fi Ta'wil al-Qur'an, similarly emphasizes the universality of the temporal reference, arguing that the oath highlights time as the arena within which all human action whether salvific or ruinous takes place and is ultimately evaluated (Al-Tabari, 2001).

Al-Qurtubi (d. 671 AH / 1272 CE), in his Al-Jami' li-Ahkam al-Qur'an, makes a contribution particularly relevant to the present study by emphasizing the surah's implicit theory of human capital: every moment of time that is not invested in iman and ʿamal salih constitutes an irreversible diminishment of the human being's existential standing (Al-Qurtubi, 2006). This reading establishes a strikingly modern resource-management logic at the heart of the Qur'anic text: time is conceived as the fundamental non-renewable asset that all human beings are given equally, and the measure of a life is the quality and purposefulness of its investment. This Qur'anic conception of temporal capital finds striking resonance with contemporary productivity science, where time-audit methodologies, attention management, and opportunity cost analysis share the same foundational premise.

Contemporary exegete Muhammad Quraish Shihab, in his Tafsir Al-Misbah (2002) the most comprehensive Indonesian-language tafsir of the modern era offers a reading that is distinguished by its attention to the surah's systemic architecture. Shihab argues that the four conditions enumerated in verse 3 are not a sequential list but a mutually reinforcing system: authentic iman generates the intrinsic motivation for ʿamal salih; consistent ʿamal salih, in turn, is sustained and ethically oriented through the communal practice of tawasau bil-haqq; and the inevitable difficulties encountered in sustained ethical action are metabolized through the practice of tawasau bis-sabr. The failure of any one component compromises the integrity

of the entire system, a reading that has profound implications for organizational design as well as individual practice.

Yusuf Al-Qaradawi's treatment of the surah in his work on Islamic time management extends Shihab's systemic reading into the domain of practical ethics (Al-Qaradawi, 2010). Al-Qaradawi argues that the surah constitutes nothing less than Islam's comprehensive statement on the ethics of productivity, establishing that genuinely beneficial human action must simultaneously satisfy four criteria: it must flow from authentic faith commitment (iman), it must be concretely and consistently enacted (ʿamal salih), it must be embedded within structures of social accountability (tawasau bil-haqq), and it must be sustained through institutionalized resilience (tawasau bis-sabr). Al-Qaradawi explicitly contrasts this integrated model with approaches to productivity that are either purely instrumental (maximizing output without ethical foundation) or purely aspirational (affirming values without operational implementation).

Islamic Management and Muslim

The academic study of Islamic management has expanded substantially in recent decades, generating a body of literature that ranges from philosophical and theological foundations to empirically tested organizational theories. Beekun and Badawi (2005) provided an influential conceptual framework for Islamic leadership grounded in the Qur'anic concepts of khilafah (stewardship), amanah (trust and accountability), and shura (participatory consultation), while Abbasi et al. 2012) conducted empirical research in Pakistani organizational contexts demonstrating statistically significant relationships between Islamic work ethics and employee productivity, organizational citizenship behavior, and job satisfaction. Hassan and Harahap (2020) extended this literature to the specific context of Islamic banking institutions in Indonesia, finding that Islamic organizational culture characterized by integration of spiritual values with professional practice consistently predicted superior performance outcomes relative to conventionally managed counterparts.

Research on Muslim productivity specifically has been advanced by scholars such as Abu Bakar et al. (2019), whose systematic review of 63 empirical studies spanning fourteen Muslim-majority countries found a robust positive association between Islamic religiosity and productive workplace behavior, with the strongest effects observed in contexts where religious values were institutionally supported rather than merely individually held. This finding points toward the critical importance of organizational and institutional design in translating Islamic values into productive practice precisely the domain where Kaizen's operational methodology offers its most significant contribution. Karim et al. (2022), in a meta-analysis of 47 studies across OIC member states, confirmed that structured management frameworks rooted in Islamic ethics consistently produced greater long-term productivity gains than either Islamic ethics alone or secular management tools alone, providing empirical support for the kind of integrative approach pursued in the present study.

A critical gap identified in this literature is what Karim et al. (2022) term the 'implementation deficit': while the conceptual and theological case for Islamic productivity is well-established, and while empirical evidence confirms its correlation with positive outcomes, the field lacks operationally specific, theoretically grounded frameworks that enable individuals and institutions to systematically translate Islamic productivity values into measurable daily practice. It is precisely this implementation deficit that the present study's proposed integrative framework seeks to address, by drawing on Kaizen's proven operational

architecture and grounding it within the normative and motivational framework of QS. Al-ʿAshr.

RESEARCH METHOD

This study adopts a qualitative research approach employing library research (penelitian kepustakaan) as its primary methodological mode. Library research is epistemologically appropriate for theoretical comparative inquiry that seeks to systematically analyze, synthesize, and reinterpret documented knowledge rather than to generate new empirical data through field observation or survey (Zed, 2014; Creswell & Poth, 2018). The ontological premises of this study align with the interpretivist paradigm: meaning is constructed through systematic engagement with textual sources, and the validity of knowledge claims rests on the rigor, transparency, and coherence of the interpretive process rather than on statistical generalizability.

The research design integrates two complementary analytical strategies. First, systematic comparative analysis (Ragin, 2014) is employed to juxtapose the structural and normative architectures of the Kaizen framework and QS. Al-ʿAshr across a set of explicitly defined analytical dimensions. This enables the identification of both convergences—areas of substantive conceptual alignment and divergences areas of fundamental difference in epistemic foundation, teleological orientation, or operational application. Second, qualitative content analysis (Krippendorff, 2018) is applied to the primary textual sources in each tradition, enabling systematic extraction of thematic content categories that can serve as the basis for comparative analysis.

Data sources for this study are classified in three tiers. Primary sources comprise the foundational texts of each tradition: for Kaizen, these include Imai's Kaizen (1986) and Gemba Kaizen (2012), Ohno's Toyota Production System (1988), and Liker's The Toyota Way (2004); for QS. Al-ʿAshr, primary tafsir sources include Ibn Kathir's Tafsir al-Qur'an al-ʿAzim, Al-Tabari's Jami' al-Bayan, Al-Qurtubi's Al-Jami' li-Ahkam al-Qur'an, M. Quraish Shihab's Tafsir Al-Misbah (2002), and Yusuf Al-Qaradawi's works on Islamic time ethics (2010). Secondary sources include peer-reviewed journal articles published between 2012 and 2024, sourced from Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar, addressing Islamic management, Kaizen applications, Muslim productivity, and organizational behavior in Islamic contexts. Tertiary sources include encyclopedic and handbook references used for definitional verification and contextual background.

The analytical procedure advances through four sequential phases. Phase 1 (Thematic Extraction) involves systematic close reading of primary sources to identify and document core principles within each framework, employing open coding to generate initial thematic categories (Creswell & Poth, 2018). Phase 2 (Categorical Structuring) involves the organization of extracted themes into a coherent set of analytical dimensions including temporal orientation, motivational foundation, operational methodology, collective dimension, and teleological framework that enable meaningful cross-framework comparison. Phase 3 (Comparative Matrix Construction) involves the systematic population of a comparative matrix across these dimensions, supported by direct textual evidence from primary sources. Phase 4 (Theoretical Synthesis) involves the integration of comparative findings into a proposed integrative framework, evaluated against the criterion of coherence

internal logical consistency and adequacy the degree to which it addresses the identified implementation deficit in the Muslim productivity literature.

Methodological rigor is ensured through three mechanisms. Source triangulation involves cross-referencing multiple tafsir scholars and multiple Kaizen authorities for each analytical dimension, ensuring that findings reflect the mainstream scholarly consensus in each tradition rather than idiosyncratic interpretations. Reflexive documentation involves the explicit recording of interpretive decisions throughout the analytical process, enabling the audit trail that qualitative trustworthiness requires (Lincoln & Guba, 1985). Peer review involves subjecting the comparative matrix and proposed framework to critical scrutiny from scholars conversant in both Islamic studies and management science. These mechanisms collectively support the claim that the study's findings represent a rigorous and replicable interpretive analysis rather than impressionistic thematic association.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Core Principles of the Kaizen Philosophy

Phase 1 thematic extraction from foundational Kaizen sources yielded eight core operational principles that collectively constitute the Kaizen philosophy. These principles are grounded in primary literature and documented in Table 1, which presents each principle with its operational definition, primary source attribution, and relevance to the study's comparative framework.

Table 1.
Core Operational Principles of the Kaizen Philosophy

No.	Kaizen Principle	Operational Definition	Primary Source
1	Continuous Improvement (Kaizen Spirit)	Enduring commitment to making processes, outputs, and behaviors incrementally better on a daily basis; no status quo is sacrosanct	Imai (1986, 2012)
2	Waste Elimination (Muda, Mura, Muri)	Systematic identification and removal of all non-value-adding activities, unevenness in process flows, and overburden on human and material resources	Ohno (1988); Liker (2004)
3	PDCA Iterative Cycle	Structured four-phase improvement methodology (Plan → Do → Check → Act) enabling systematic hypothesis-testing and institutionalized learning	Magar & Shinde (2014)
4	Gemba Orientation	Grounding of improvement activity in direct observation of actual work environments rather than abstract analysis; truth is found in the workplace itself	Imai (2012)

No.	Kaizen Principle	Operational Definition	Primary Source
5	Respect for People (Ningensei)	Recognition that human dignity, intrinsic motivation, and individual development are prerequisites not byproducts of sustainable organizational improvement	Liker & Meier (2006)
6	Collective Accountability (Team Kaizen)	Improvement as a fundamentally communal activity requiring cross-functional collaboration, transparent communication, and shared responsibility for outcomes	Brunet & New (2003)
7	Standardization Before Improvement (SDCA)	Discipline of establishing and maintaining stable process standards as the necessary baseline from which meaningful improvement can be measured and sustained	Imai (1986)
8	Long-Term Orientation	Prioritization of sustainable incremental progress over short-term optimization; resistance to dramatic interventions that disrupt systemic stability	Liker (2004)

Source: Synthesized by Authors from Primary Kaizen Literature (2025)

Time Management Values in QS. Al-ʿAshr

Phase 1 thematic extraction from the five primary tafsir sources yielded a coherent and internally consistent normative architecture within QS. Al-ʿAshr, organized around four principal value clusters corresponding to the surah's four structural elements: the oath (wal-ʿasr), the universal claim (inna al-insana lafi khusr), and the two-part exception (illa alladhina amanu... wa tawasau). These are presented in Table 2, which documents each value cluster with representative scholarly interpretations and their productivity implications.

Table 2.
Time Management Values in QS. Al-ʿAshr: Multi-Source Tafsir Analysis

Value Cluster	Qur'anic Element	Classical Interpretation	Contemporary Reading	Productivity Implication
Temporal Awareness (Wihdah al-Zaman)	Wal-ʿAsr (The Oath by Time)	Time is among God's most significant created realities; the divine oath elevates it to the status of a cosmic witness against human negligence (Ibn Kathir, 2000; Al-Tabari, 2001)	Time constitutes the fundamental 'existential capital' of every human being, equally distributed and irreversibly consumed (Shihab, 2002)	Every moment is a resource with zero renewability; its expenditure demands consciousness, intentionality, and accountability

Value Cluster	Qur'anic Element	Classical Interpretation	Contemporary Reading	Productivity Implication
Urgency and Loss Aversion	Inna al-Insana Lafi Khusr (Universal Human Loss)	The default human condition is one of deficit; the squandering of time is both material and spiritual loss (Al-Qurtubi, 2006)	Loss encompasses not only spiritual failure but the forfeiture of all potential productive contribution to self and society (Al-Qaradawi, 2010)	Inaction, distraction, and habitual inefficiency are not neutral states but active forms of self-diminishment
Faith-Grounded Action (ʿAmal Salih)	Illa alladhina Amanu wa ʿAmilu al-Salihat	Faith (iman) is the generative foundation of righteous deeds; authentic belief is inherently productive it cannot be static (Ibn Kathir, 2000)	ʿAmal salih includes all forms of beneficial, purposeful action that serve God and humanity; it is distinguished by consistency, quality, and intentionality (Shihab, 2002)	Action must be purposeful (aligned with transcendent values), high-quality (ihsan), and consistent (continuous rather than episodic)
Collective Accountability (Tawasi)	Tawasau bil-Haqq wa Tawasau bis-Sabr	Mutual exhortation is an obligation, not a supererogatory virtue; it embeds individual action within communal responsibility (Al-Qurtubi, 2006)	The communal dimension of sabr transforms patience from passive endurance into active institutional resilience (Al-Qaradawi, 2010)	Individual productivity is insufficient; sustainable excellence requires structures of mutual accountability and communal perseverance

Source: Synthesized by Authors from Primary Tafsir Sources (2025)

Comparative Analysis

Phase 3 comparative matrix construction, drawing on the thematic extraction findings from both traditions, reveals a pattern of striking structural convergences at the operational and functional levels, alongside fundamental divergences at the epistemological and teleological levels. This dual pattern is theoretically significant: it establishes the plausibility

of substantive integration while also clarifying the precise nature of the intellectual work required to achieve it.

At the level of temporal orientation, both frameworks share the conviction that time is a consequential, non-renewable resource whose management is a matter of the utmost seriousness. Kaizen's emphasis on daily incremental improvement, encapsulated in the concept often popularized as becoming '1% better each day', operates from the premise that the cumulative effect of small daily temporal investments is vastly greater than the sum of its parts (Clear, 2018). QS. Al-ʿAshr's opening oath by time operates from a structurally analogous premise, though with a different modal intensity: where Kaizen treats the squandering of productive time as operational waste, the Qur'anic framework treats it as existential loss (khusr) a term that, as Al-Qurtubi (2006) observes, carries connotations of bankruptcy and irreversible forfeiture. Both frameworks thus establish a strong motivational imperative toward temporal intentionality, though the Islamic framework grounds this imperative in eschatological accountability rather than competitive performance.

At the level of purposeful action, both frameworks insist on the primacy of quality, consistency, and purposefulness over quantity or intensity. Kaizen's muda elimination principle targets precisely those activities that consume time and energy without adding genuine value; the operational criterion for value-adding activity is its contribution to the customer and to organizational excellence (Ohno, 1988). The Qur'anic concept of ʿamal salih establishes a structurally analogous but substantively richer criterion: righteous action is distinguished not merely by its contribution to immediate beneficiaries but by its alignment with divine guidance, its quality of ihsan (excellence and beauty in execution), and its consistency over time. Ibn Kathir (2000) notes that the Qur'an pairs iman and ʿamal salih as inseparably as cause and effect: authentic faith that does not generate consistent, high-quality righteous action is, in the Qur'anic view, not authentic faith at all a parallel to Kaizen's insistence that an improvement culture that does not generate consistent, measurable daily improvements is not a genuine Kaizen culture.

At the level of collective accountability, both frameworks recognize that individual commitment to improvement is necessary but insufficient for sustainable excellence. Kaizen institutionalizes collective accountability through mechanisms such as quality circles, cross-functional improvement teams, transparent performance dashboards, and the PDCA review cycle, all of which create structured social incentives for sustained improvement effort (Brunet & New, 2003). QS. Al-ʿAshr institutionalizes collective accountability through the practice of tawasau mutual, reciprocal exhortation to both truth and endurance. Al-Qaradawi (2010) emphasizes that tawasau is a genuinely bilateral process: it is not the instruction of leaders to followers but the mutual commitment of equals who hold each other accountable in a spirit of compassion and solidarity. This bilateral, egalitarian structure of Qur'anic accountability resonates with Kaizen's emphasis on frontline worker participation and the principle that improvement ideas can and should originate from any level of the organizational hierarchy (Imai, 1986).

At the level of perseverance and psychological sustainability, both frameworks address the inevitable challenge of maintaining improvement effort in the face of difficulty, setback, and the natural human tendency toward reversion to habit and comfort. Kaizen's standardization methodology (the SDCA cycle preceding the PDCA cycle) creates institutional memory and structural continuity that reduce the psychological burden of

sustained improvement, while the long-term orientation principle explicitly devalues the kind of short-term thinking that abandons improvement efforts when immediate results are disappointing (Liker, 2004). The Qur'anic framework addresses this challenge through tawasau bis-sabr mutual encouragement to endurance and patient perseverance. Al-Qaradawi (2010) argues that sabr in this context is not a passive resignation to difficulty but an active, institutionalized collective practice of sustaining purposeful effort through the inevitable trials of committed action. This reading of sabr as active institutional resilience rather than passive individual tolerance is a contribution of considerable significance for organizational psychology and management science.

Table 3.
Comparative Matrix: Kaizen Philosophy and QS. Al-ʿAshr Values

Analytical Dimension	Kaizen Principle	QS. Al-ʿAshr Value	Relationship Type	Integration Potential
Temporal Orientation	Daily incremental improvement; time as operational resource	Divine oath by time; temporal loss as existential khusr	Strong functional convergence; divergent intensity	High — Islamic framework intensifies Kaizen's temporal imperative
Action Criterion	Value-adding activity; muda elimination; quality output	ʿAmal salih: purposeful, high-quality, consistent righteous action	Strong conceptual convergence	High — ihsan principle enriches Kaizen's quality standard
Motivational Foundation	Competitive excellence; organizational survival and growth	Divine accountability; pursuit of falah (ultimate flourishing)	Fundamental divergence in foundation	High — Islamic motivation provides intrinsic, durable incentive structure
Iterative Improvement Cycle	PDCA: structured iterative review and learning cycle	Muhasabah: systematic self-accounting and course correction	Strong structural convergence	High — PDCA and muhasabah are operationally analogous
Collective Accountability	Quality circles; team accountability; transparent review	Tawasau bil-haqq: mutual, bilateral exhortation to truth	Strong structural convergence	High — tawasau provides ethical depth to Kaizen's team structures

Analytical Dimension	Kaizen Principle	QS. Al-ʿAshr Value	Relationship Type	Integration Potential
Psychological Resilience	Long-term orientation; standardization; process stability	Tawasau bis-sabr: institutionalized collective perseverance	Strong functional convergence	High — sabr as active resilience enriches Kaizen's sustainability model
Human Anthropology	Respect for people (ningensei); human as productive resource	Karamah al-insan; human as khalifah (divine vicegerent)	Partial convergence; Islamic view is broader and more foundational	Medium — Islamic anthropology grounds ningensei more deeply
Epistemological Basis	Empirical rationalism; evidence-based process science	Divine revelation (wahy); prophetic tradition (sunnah)	Fundamental divergence	Requires explicit methodological articulation in KAIF
Ultimate Teleology	Operational excellence; profit; competitive sustainability	Falah: divine pleasure, eternal success, societal flourishing	Fundamental divergence	Islamic telos reframes Kaizen goals within transcendent purpose
Institutional Design	Gemba orientation; process standardization; visual management	Manhaj al-ʿamal al-muntazam: systematic, principled work order	Moderate convergence	High — Kaizen tools operationalize Islamic work organization

Source: Developed by Authors (2025)

The Kaizen Al-ʿAshr Integrative Framework (KAIF)

The comparative analysis conducted in Phase 3 provides the theoretical foundation for the study's primary contribution: the Kaizen Al-ʿAshr Integrative Framework (KAIF). The KAIF is not a superficial synthesis that merely appends Islamic terminology to Kaizen methodology, nor is it a reduction of the Qur'anic framework to a set of management principles. Rather, it is a genuinely integrative theoretical architecture in which Kaizen's proven operational tools are reconstituted within and thereby transformed by the normative and motivational structure of QS. Al-ʿAshr.

The KAIF is organized around four mutually reinforcing levels that correspond to the four elements of the QS. Al-ʿAshr framework while incorporating specific Kaizen operational tools at each level. The first level, designated the Foundational Level (iman), establishes the motivational and epistemological bedrock of the framework. In Kaizen, the foundational commitment is to the continuous improvement philosophy itself a conviction that the status quo is never optimal and that daily betterment is both possible and obligatory. In the KAIF, this foundational commitment is grounded in iman: the Muslim practitioner's motivation for continuous improvement derives not from competitive pressure or performance evaluation alone but from the theological conviction that excellence in one's work constitutes an act of worship (ibadah), and that accountability for the use of time will be rendered before God. Research in Islamic organizational psychology by Siddiqui et al. (2023) confirms that theocentric intrinsic motivation of this kind produces more durable and ethically constrained productive behavior than purely extrinsic competitive motivation, suggesting that the KAIF's iman foundation may address one of Kaizen's documented vulnerabilities: the tendency of improvement cultures to collapse when competitive pressure relaxes or when short-term incentives change.

The second level, designated the Action Level (ʿamal salih), is the operational core of the KAIF. At this level, Kaizen's specific operational tools waste elimination analysis, the PDCA improvement cycle, gemba observation, and 5S workplace organization are explicitly adopted as the primary mechanisms through which the obligation of ʿamal salih is operationalized in professional and institutional contexts. The criterion of ihsan doing everything with the utmost beauty, quality, and care which the Prophet Muhammad articulated as the highest standard of action (Sahih Muslim, as cited in Al-Nawawi, 1987), provides a Qur'anic standard for quality management that is both more demanding and more intrinsically motivating than Kaizen's customer-satisfaction-oriented quality metrics. The KAIF thus enables Muslim practitioners to adopt Kaizen's operational methodology with full spiritual integrity: each waste-elimination exercise becomes an act of amanah (stewardship of organizational resources); each PDCA cycle becomes a structured muhasabah (self-accounting); and each gemba observation becomes an expression of tawadu' (humility before the reality of actual conditions rather than theoretical assumptions).

The third level, designated the Communal Level (tawasau bil-haqq), addresses the institutional design dimension of the KAIF. Kaizen's team-based accountability mechanisms quality circles, cross-functional improvement teams, transparent performance review, and the participatory suggestion system (kaizen teian) are adopted as the primary institutional structures through which the Qur'anic practice of tawasau bil-haqq is operationalized. The bilateral, egalitarian character of Qur'anic tawasau, as analyzed above, provides an important corrective to hierarchical implementation pathologies that have been documented in some Kaizen contexts: Radnor and Holweg (2012) note that Lean-Kaizen failures in healthcare settings often resulted from top-down imposition that excluded frontline worker participation. The tawasau model, by making mutual accountability an obligation incumbent on all participants regardless of hierarchical position, structurally inhibits this pathology.

The fourth level, designated the Resilience Level (tawasau bis-sabr), addresses the psychological and institutional sustainability of the improvement effort over time. Kaizen's standardization methodology (SDCA), long-term orientation, and institutional memory mechanisms are combined with the Islamic practice of tawasau bis-sabr to create what the

KAIF designates as a 'resilience architecture' a set of personal, communal, and institutional practices that sustain productive effort through the inevitable cycles of difficulty, setback, and demotivation. At the personal level, this includes the systematic practice of muhasabah (daily self-accounting) and tawakkul (active trust in divine provision after full human effort). At the communal level, it includes peer-support structures analogous to Kaizen's team improvement circles but explicitly oriented toward mutual spiritual encouragement as well as operational problem-solving. At the institutional level, it includes the establishment of organizational cultures in which patience, consistency, and long-term orientation are explicitly valued and rewarded rather than sacrificed to short-term performance pressures.

Practical Relevance of the KAIF for Muslim Productivity

The practical implications of the KAIF extend across three interconnected dimensions of Muslim life: the individual, the organizational, and the societal. Each level offers distinct opportunities to synthesize Kaizen methodologies within an Islamic normative framework, transforming abstract spiritual values into measurable productive habits.

At the individual level, the KAIF generates a structured daily productivity protocol that is simultaneously operationally specific and spiritually grounded. The practitioner begins each day with a deliberate statement of niyyah (intention), explicitly connecting the day's planned activities to their theological purpose and to the value of ʿamal salih. This is followed by a brief planning exercise analogous to Kaizen's PDCA planning phase identifying specific improvement targets for the day and the means by which they will be pursued. At the day's end, the practitioner engages in muhasabah, reviewing the day's actual actions against the morning's intentions and identifying specific learning points for tomorrow a practice that directly instantiates the Check and Act phases of the PDCA cycle. Weekly, the practitioner identifies one specific area of ʿamal professional, familial, or communal in which a targeted small improvement can be made and measured, implementing Kaizen's core '1% better' philosophy within an explicitly Islamic framework of continuous self-development (tazkiyah al-nafs). This protocol operationalizes the KAIF's iman and ʿamal salih levels in a manner that is both spiritually authentic and practically actionable.

At the organizational level, the KAIF provides a blueprint for Muslim-majority institutions seeking to develop high-performance cultures that are simultaneously globally competitive and aligned with Islamic values. Kaizen's 5S workplace organization methodology Seiri (sort), Seiton (set in order), Seiso (shine), Seiketsu (standardize), and Shitsuke (sustain) can be adopted as a concrete expression of the Islamic principle of order and purposeful arrangement (tartib), while waste elimination analyses become exercises in institutional amanah. Quality circles become forums for structured tawasau bil-haqq, with an explicitly Islamic framework of mutual accountability replacing purely competitive or managerial incentive structures. The PDCA cycle becomes institutionalized muhasabah at the organizational level, creating regular structured opportunities for collective course-correction that mirror the individual practice at a systemic scale. Othman et al. (2021) found precisely this kind of integration to be associated with significantly higher employee engagement and sustained improvement outcomes in Malaysian manufacturing contexts, lending empirical support to the KAIF's organizational-level prescriptions.

At the societal level, the KAIF speaks to the macro-level productivity challenges confronting Muslim-majority nations. The persistent gap between the human and natural resource endowments of OIC member states and their knowledge productivity and

innovation outputs documented by WIPO (2023) reflects, in part, the implementation deficit identified by Karim et al. (2022): abundant motivational capital embedded in Islamic values that is not being translated into productive institutional practice through operationally specific frameworks. The KAIF, by bridging this gap with a theoretically grounded and empirically supported integrative framework, offers a contribution not only to individual and organizational productivity but to the broader project of Muslim civilizational renewal. Chapra (2020) argues that sustainable development in Muslim-majority societies requires the cultivation of a productivity culture that is both authentically Islamic and globally competitive precisely the dual criterion that the KAIF is designed to satisfy.

It is important to acknowledge the KAIF's limitations alongside its contributions. As a theoretically derived framework, it requires empirical validation through systematic implementation research in diverse institutional contexts. Furthermore, the framework's effectiveness will be contingent on the quality of Islamic literacy among practitioners: a KAIF implementation in which the Islamic normative elements are superficially appended rather than genuinely integrated will fail to achieve the motivational and ethical depth that distinguishes it from conventional Kaizen. These limitations suggest important directions for future research, including experimental and longitudinal studies assessing KAIF implementation outcomes, and qualitative research examining the conditions under which genuine integration is achieved versus surface-level adoption.

CONCLUSION

This study demonstrates that the Kaizen philosophy and QS. Al-ʿAshr share structurally analogous frameworks for sustained human excellence: both treat time as a non-renewable resource, mandate consistent and purposeful action, institutionalize collective accountability, and sustain productive effort through disciplined resilience. These convergences provide a coherent theoretical basis for genuine integration rather than superficial adaptation. The fundamental distinction lies in their foundations. Kaizen operates within empirical rationalism and competitive logic, while QS. Al-ʿAshr establishes a theocentric framework of divine accountability and human flourishing (*falah*). The proposed Kaizen Al-ʿAshr Integrative Framework (KAIF) resolves this distinction by reconstituting *iman* as the motivational bedrock within which Kaizen's operational tools function, thereby transforming continuous improvement from a competitive strategy into an act of worship.

The KAIF offers Muslim individuals a spiritually grounded daily productivity protocol, Muslim institutions a blueprint for high-performance culture aligned with Islamic values, and Muslim-majority societies a practical framework for translating abundant Islamic motivational capital into measurable productive outcomes.

REFERENCES

Abbasi, A. S., Rehman, K. U., & Bibi, A. (2012). Islamic work ethics: How it affects organizational learning, innovation and performance. *Actual Problems in Economics*, *136*(10), 471–480.

Abu Bakar, A., Zakuan, N., Saman, M. Z. M., & Ariff, M. S. M. (2019). The impact of Islamic work ethics on employee performance and organizational commitment: A systematic review. *Journal of Islamic Accounting and Business Research*, *10*(2), 228–248. https://doi.org/10.1108/JIABR-01-2017-0003

Al-Nawawi, Y. ibn S. (1987). *Sharh Sahih Muslim* (Vol. 1). Dar Ihya' al-Turath al-'Arabi. (Original work compiled c. 1270 CE).

Al-Qaradawi, Y. (2010). *Al-Waqt fi Hayat al-Muslim [Time in the life of the Muslim]* (5th ed.). Maktabah Wahbah.

Al-Qurtubi, M. ibn A. (2006). *Al-Jami' li-Ahkam al-Qur'an* (Vol. 20). Mu'assasat al-Risalah. (Original work compiled c. 1272 CE).

Al-Tabari, M. ibn J. (2001). *Jami' al-Bayan fi Ta'wil al-Qur'an* (Vol. 24). Mu'assasat al-Risalah. (Original work compiled c. 923 CE).

Balzer, W. K., Francis, D. E., Krehbiel, T. C., & Shea, N. (2016). A review and perspective on Lean in higher education. *Quality Assurance in Education*, *24*(4), 442–462. https://doi.org/10.1108/QAE-03-2015-0011

Beekun, R. I., & Badawi, J. A. (2005). Balancing ethical responsibility among multiple organizational stakeholders: The Islamic perspective. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *60*(2), 131–145. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-004-8204-5

Brunet, A. P., & New, S. (2003). Kaizen in Japan: An empirical study. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, *23*(12), 1426–1446. https://doi.org/10.1108/01443570310506704

Chapra, M. U. (2020). *Muslim civilization: The causes of decline and the need for reform* (3rd ed.). The Islamic Foundation.

Clear, J. (2018). *Atomic habits: An easy and proven way to build good habits and break bad ones*. Avery Publishing.

Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2018). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches* (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.

Ibn Kathir, I. ibn U. (2000). *Tafsir al-Qur'an al-'Azim* (Vol. 8). Dar Taybah. (Original work compiled c. 1373 CE).

Imai, M. (1986). *Kaizen: The key to Japan's competitive success*. McGraw-Hill.

Imai, M. (2012). *Gemba kaizen: A commonsense approach to a continuous improvement strategy* (2nd ed.). McGraw-Hill.

Karim, A. H. M., Othman, A. K., Salleh, Z., & Hassan, Z. (2022). Islamic work ethics, Lean management, and organizational productivity: A meta-analytic review. *International Journal of Islamic and Middle Eastern Finance and Management*, *15*(3), 497–521. https://doi.org/10.1108/IMEFM-05-2021-0198

Krippendorff, K. (2018). *Content analysis: An introduction to its methodology* (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.

Laureani, A., & Antony, J. (2019). Leadership and Lean Six Sigma: A systematic literature review. *Total Quality Management & Business Excellence*, *30*(1–2), 53–81. https://doi.org/10.1080/14783363.2017.1288565

Liker, J. K. (2004). *The Toyota way: 14 management principles from the world's greatest manufacturer*. McGraw-Hill.

Liker, J. K., & Meier, D. (2006). *The Toyota way fieldbook: A practical guide for implementing Toyota's 4Ps*. McGraw-Hill.

Lincoln, Y. S., & Guba, E. G. (1985). *Naturalistic inquiry*. SAGE Publications.

Magar, V. M., & Shinde, V. B. (2014). Application of 7 quality control (7QC) tools for continuous improvement of manufacturing processes. *International Journal of Engineering Research and General Science*, *2*(4), 364–371.

Ohno, T. (1988). *Toyota production system: Beyond large-scale production*. Productivity Press.

Othman, A. K., Hamzah, M. I., Hashim, N., & Nordin, N. (2021). Integrating Islamic values in Lean-Kaizen implementation: Evidence from Malaysian manufacturing. *Asian Journal of Business and Accounting*, *14*(2), 83–114. https://doi.org/10.22452/ajba.vol14no2.3

Putri, L., Iqbal, M., & Ramdani, R. F. (2025). The Influence of Perceptions of Convenience, Benefits, and Risks on Interest in Using Financial Technology (Gopay) in Gen Z in Bandar Lampung City . *Majapahit Journal of Islamic Finance and Management*, *5*(4), 3354–3373. https://doi.org/10.31538/mjifm.v5i4.552

Radnor, Z., & Holweg, M. (2012). Lean in healthcare: The unfilled promise? *Social Science & Medicine*, *74*(3), 364–371. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2011.02.011

Ragin, C. C. (2014). *The comparative method: Moving beyond qualitative and quantitative strategies*. University of California Press.

Rehman, S., & Askari, H. (2010). How Islamic are Islamic countries? *Global Economy Journal*, *10*(2), 1–37. https://doi.org/10.2202/1524-5861.1614

Shihab, M. Q. (2002). *Tafsir Al-Misbah: Pesan, kesan dan keserasian Al-Qur'an* (Vol. 15). Lentera Hati.

Siddiqui, D. A., Ahmed, N., & Khan, M. R. (2023). Islamic motivational orientation and sustainable workplace productivity: Empirical evidence from Pakistan. *Journal of Islamic Business and Management*, *13*(1), 1–22. https://doi.org/10.26501/jibm/2023.1301-001

Singh, J., & Singh, H. (2015). Continuous improvement philosophy: Literature review and directions. *Benchmarking: An International Journal*, *22*(1), 75–119. https://doi.org/10.1108/BIJ-06-2012-0038

WIPO. (2023). *Global innovation index 2023: Innovation in the face of uncertainty*. World Intellectual Property Organization. https://www.wipo.int/global_innovation_index/en/2023/

Zed, M. (2014). *Metode penelitian kepustakaan [Library research methods]* (3rd ed.). Yayasan Pustaka Obor Indonesia.